

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,532	10/28/2003	Naobumi Okada	03653/LH	6456	
1933 7590 FRISHALIF HOLD	07/11/2007 ΓΖ, GOODMAN &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Avenue	iz, doodwim k	ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA			
16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY	10001-7708	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .		
			1725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	MAIL DATE 07/11/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/696,532		OKADA, NAOBUMI					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		M. Alexandra Elve		1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxis - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for the property is specified above, the maxis - Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 is communication. In the statutory period will be reply will, by statute, conthis after the mailing of the statute.	TE OF THIS CON (a). In no event, however (b) apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	IMUNICATION or, may a reply be time ((6) MONTHS from to the come ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
 Responsive to communication(2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the property of the	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.	al matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to respect t	_is/are withdrawi	•							
Application Papers		•							
9)☐ The specification is objected to 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 Octoon Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) income 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to 11.	ber 2003 is/are: objection to the diduding the correction	a) accepted or rawing(s) be held in on is required if the contractions.	abeyance. See drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) <u> </u>	terview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Da otice of Informal Pa her:	te					

Application/Control Number: 10/696,532

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

This office action replaces the office action of 6/27/07, which is now rescinded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baer et al. (USPN 6,639,657) in view of Portney et al. (USPN 4,842,782).

Baer et al. teaches laser capture microdissection. The apparatus has a laser with a path (320 & 310) that intersects with a dichroic mirror (340) then a lens (350), which may have a variable aperture and then an objective (360).

Baer et al. does not teach the use of pattern forming means and the energy of the laser beam and vaporization of material using a laser.

Portney et al. discloses a laser ablation system (vaporization of material), which uses a mask to shape lenses. The number and energy of pulses can control the depth of the cut. The laser beam is masked such that the laser energy may be varied along the workpiece (specimen).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,532

incontrol Number. 10/090,5

Art Unit: 1725

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a mask (pattern forming means) as taught by Portney et al. in the Baer et al. apparatus because it ensures that the exact desired area is processed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use variable laser energy as taught by Portney et al. in the Baer et al. apparatus because it allows for specific machining of a workpiece or tailored cutting/dissection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 9, 2007.

M. Alexandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725